



PERGAMON

Mathematical and Computer Modelling 35 (2002) 303–322

MATHEMATICAL
AND
COMPUTER
MODELLING

www.elsevier.com/locate/mcm

Matrix Newton Interpolation and Progressive 3D Imaging: PC-Based Computation

E. DEFEZ

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia
46071 Valencia, Spain

A. LAW

Department of Computer Science, University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1

J. VILLANUEVA-OLLER AND R. J. VILLANUEVA*

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia
46071 Valencia, Spain
rjvillan@mat.upv.es

(Received April 2001; accepted May 2001)

Abstract—For polynomials $P(x) = A_n x^n + A_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + A_1 x + A_0$ in a real scalar x , but with coefficients A_j that are rectangular matrices, a generalization of Newton's divided difference interpolatory scheme is developed. Instances of $P(x)$ at nodes x_i may be interpreted as slices of a digital 3D object. *Mathematica* code for this machinery is given and its effectiveness illustrated for progressively-transmitted renderings. Analysis, with supporting *Mathematica* code, is extended to a piecewise matrix polynomial situation, to produce practicable software for a PC-based computational system. Two experiments about 3D progressive imaging, employing a 6 Mbyte data base consisting of 93 CT slices of a human head, are discussed along with PC-based performance evaluation. How a 3D object is decomposed into 2D subsets in preparation for progressive transmission, as well as their selected ordering for transmission, are seen to affect quality of the emerging reconstructions. Extension to 4D objects is also discussed briefly, to provide introduction to, for example, application of matrix polynomial machinery within the field of functional magnetic resonance imaging. © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords—Progressive transmission of images, Matrix Newton interpolation, Matrix polynomial reconstruction, PC-based progressive rendering.

1. INTRODUCTION

Modern medical imaging began with Roentgen's discovery of X-rays, just over 100 years ago, and much of the rapid development in the science has occurred in the last 25 years. As the technology has matured, the quality and quantity of data produced in a typical examination have increased dramatically. For example, a computerized tomography (CT) exam of the early 1970s usually consisted of one or two slices and perhaps 100 kilobytes of data. By the early 1980s, exams

This research has been partially supported by the Plan de Incentivo a la Investigación/2000 de la Universidad Politécnica de Valencia.

*Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed.

REFERENCES

1. S.G. Mallat, A theory for multiresolution signal decomposition: The wavelet representation, *IEEE Trans. PAMI* **11** (7), 84–95 (January 1980).
2. E.J. Stollnitz, T.D. DeRose and D.H. Salesin, Wavelets for computer graphics: A primer, Part I, *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications* **15** (3), 76–84 (May 1995).
3. Y.-S. Kim and W.-Y. Kim, Reversible decorrelation method for progressive transmission of 3D medical image, *IEEE Trans. Medical Imaging* **17** (3), 383–394 (1998).
4. E. Kofidis, N. Kolokotronis, A. Vassilarakou, S. Theodoridis and D. Cavouras, Wavelet-based medical image compression, *Future Generation Computer Systems* **15**, 223–243 (1999).
5. E. Defez, A. Hervás, A.G. Law, J. Villanueva-Oller and R.J. Villanueva, Progressive transmission of images: PC-based computations using orthogonal matrix polynomials, *Mathl. Comput. Modelling* **32** (10), 1125–1140 (2000).
6. T. Sigitani, Y. Iiguni and H. Maeda, Progressive cross-section display of 3D medical images, *Phys. Med. Biol.* **44** (6), 1565–1577 (June 1999).
7. W. Wrazidlo, H.J. Brambs, W. Lederer, S. Schneider, B. Geiger and C. Fischer, An alternative method of three-dimensional reconstruction from two-dimensional CT and MR data sets, *Med. Biol. Eng. Comput.* **38** (2), 140–149 (March 2000).
8. E. Defez, A. Hervás, A.G. Law, J. Villanueva-Oller and R.J. Villanueva, Matrix cubic splines for progressive transmission of images, *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision* (submitted).
9. W. Schroeder and K. Martin, *The VTK User's Guide*, Kitware, (1999).
10. W. Schroeder, K. Martin and B. Lorensen, *The Visualization Toolkit. An Object-Oriented Approach to Graphics*, Prentice-Hall, (1997).
11. S. Wolfram, *The Mathematica Book*, Wolfram Media, (1996).
12. G.H. Golub and C.F. van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, (1989).
13. <http://www.scriptics.com>.
14. J.A. Ball, I. Gohberg and L. Rodman, *Interpolation of Rational Matrix Functions*, Birkhäuser, (1990).
15. E. Defez, L. Jódar, A. Law and E. Ponsoda, Three-term recurrences and matrix orthogonal polynomials, *Utilitas Mathematica* **57**, 129–146 (May 2000).
16. R.C. González and R.E. Woods, *Digital Image Processing*, Addison-Wesley, New York, (1993).
17. L. Jódar and E. Defez, Orthogonal matrix polynomials with respect to a conjugate bilinear matrix moment functional: Basic theory, *Approx. Theory & its Appl.* **13** (1), 66–79 (1997).
18. D.C. Kay and J.R. Levin, *Graphics File Formats*, Windcrest/McGraw-Hill, (1995).
19. M. Marcus and H. Minc, *A Survey of Matrix Theory and Matrix Inequalities*, Dover, New York, (1964).
20. K. Tzou, Progressive image transmission: A review and comparison of techniques, *Opt. Eng.* **26**, 581–589 (July 1987).